

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

MAR 27 2015

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

In re: SHAHROKH MIRESKANDARI,  
Applicant,

No. 13-55363

D.C. No. 2:12-cv-10310-DSF-E

SHAHROKH MIRESKANDARI,  
Appellant (Re: Appeal in the High Court  
of Justice Queen's Bench Division  
Administrative Court, London England,

MEMORANDUM\*

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

SOLICITORS REGULATION  
AUTHORITY,

Respondent - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Central District of California  
Dale S. Fischer, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 13, 2015\*\*  
Pasadena, California

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent  
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision  
without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Before: CHRISTEN and HURWITZ, Circuit Judges, and BURGESS, District Judge.\*\*\*

Shahrokh Mireskandari appeals the district court's denial of his application under 28 U.S.C. § 1782 to obtain discovery from the law firm Bird, Marella, Boxer, Wolpert, Nessim, Drooks, & Lincenberg, P.C. about the firm's communications with Dr. Joseph Scoma. Mireskandari seeks the discovery for use in an appeal of a bar disciplinary order against him in the United Kingdom.

On February 5, 2014, Mireskandari discontinued his appeal in the United Kingdom. Since there is no indication that the appeal will be reinstituted, there is no longer a live controversy and Mireskandari's discovery request is moot. *See Powell v. McCormack*, 395 U.S. 486, 496 (1969) (“[A] case is moot when the issues presented are no longer ‘live’ or the parties lack a legally cognizable interest in the outcome.”).

APPEAL DISMISSED AS MOOT.

---

\*\*\* The Honorable Timothy M. Burgess, District Judge for the U.S. District Court for the District of Alaska, sitting by designation.